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We consider the problem of determining the stresses in a thin, homogeneous disc, weakened by $N$ like holes situated at the same distance from the center and acted upon by a constant normal load applied along its periphery. Such a cyclically symmetric problem was solved by Buivol in [1], who reduced the Sherman integral equation $[2,3]$ along the boundary $L$ of the region in question, to an equation along the part of $L$ designated by / and lying within the angle $\theta_{0} \leqslant$ $\theta \leqslant \theta_{0}+\tau$, where $\tau=2 \pi / N$ and $\theta$ is the angular coordinate of the points of $l$ in the polar coordinate system chosen in the plane of the annulus in the usual manner, and $\theta_{0}$ is arbitrary.
Such an approach utilizes the symmetry of the problem when the resulting equations are solved numerically and, unlike other methods [4-6], it does not impose any restrictions on the size and distribution of the holes, while a suitable choice of the norm in the method of least squares ensures uniform convergence of the complex potentials $q(z)$ and $\varphi(z)$ and their derivatives right up to their boundaries. Unfortunately, the paper [1] contains an error. The transformation of the function $\omega(t)$ under a rotation by the angle $\tau$ is determined with the accuracy of only up to its principal term, i.e. up to the limiting value of the function holomorphic outside the region in question (see [7] for a representation of holomorphic functions in terms of the Cauchy integrals). Such a limiting value affects the form of $\psi(z)$ and hence the result. In the present paper this value is determined with help of the condition of transformation of $\psi(z)$ under rotation, used in [1].
It is proved that $\omega(t)$ belongs to some subspace $W_{2}{ }^{\mathbf{s}}(L, \tau)$ of the space $W_{2}{ }^{s}(L)$, constructed by taking into account the symmetry of the problem. The application of the method of least squares in $W_{2}{ }^{3}(L, \tau)$ leads to an economic computational scheme. We give numerical results for $N=4$ in the case of different disk-geometries. The method of solution can be easily extended to the
case of an arbitrary static load which does not violate the symmetry of the problem.

We introduce the following notations: $S_{+}$is the domain under consideration, $S_{-}$is the complement of $S_{+}$to the entire complex plane, $L$ is the boundary of the domain (related neither to $S_{+}$not to $S_{-}$), $L_{0}$ is the outer boundary of the disk of radius $R, L_{k}$ is the boundary and $s_{k}$ is the center of the $k$-th hole of radius $r(k=1,2, \ldots, N)$, $\varphi(z), \psi(z)$ are the Kolosov functions, holomorphic in $S_{+}$, and $t, t_{0}$ are points on $L$.

According to $[2,3], \varphi(z)$ and $\psi(z)$ are sought in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{L} \frac{\omega(t)}{t-z} d t+U(z), \quad U=\sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{b_{k}}{z-z_{k}}  \tag{1}\\
& \psi(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{L} \frac{\overline{\omega(t)}}{t-z} d t-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{L} \frac{\bar{t} \omega^{\prime}(t)}{t-z} d t+U(z)  \tag{2}\\
& b_{k}=i \int_{L_{k}}\{\omega(t) d \bar{t}-\overline{\omega(t)} d t\}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots N
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\omega(t)$ is a sufficiently smooth complex function on $L$ and $b_{k}$ are real constants. The boundary condition leads us to an integral equation relative to $\omega$ ( $t$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega\left(t_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{L} \omega(t) d \ln \frac{t-t_{0}}{\bar{t}-\bar{t}_{0}}-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{L} \overline{\omega(t)} d \frac{t-t_{0}}{\bar{t}-\bar{t}_{0}}+  \tag{3}\\
& \quad \sum_{k=1}^{N}\left\{\frac{b_{k}}{t_{0}-z_{k}}+\frac{b_{k}}{\bar{t}_{0}-\bar{z}_{k}}\left(1-\frac{t_{0}}{\bar{t}_{0}-\bar{z}_{k}}\right)\right\}-C_{k}=f\left(t_{0}\right) \quad \text { on } L_{k} \\
& C_{k}=-\int_{L_{k}} \omega(t) d S, \quad d S=|d t|
\end{align*}
$$

Rotation through an angle $\tau$ does not affect the state of stress of the disk. Therefore, except for nonessential terms, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi\left(z e^{i \tau}\right)=e^{i \tau} \varphi(z)  \tag{4}\\
& \Psi\left(z e^{i \tau}\right)=e^{-i \tau} \Psi(z) \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

We write the function $U(z)$ in the form

$$
U(z)=\int_{L} \frac{h(t)}{t-z} d t, \quad h(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
0, \quad t \in L_{0}  \tag{6}\\
\frac{b_{k}}{t-z_{k}}, \quad t \in L_{k}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Substituting (1) into (4) and taking into account (6), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega\left(t e^{i \tau}\right)=e^{i \tau} \omega(t)+H_{n}(t)+q(t) \\
& q(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
0, & t \in L_{0} \\
\frac{b_{k} e^{i \tau}-b_{k+1} e^{-i \tau}}{t-z_{k}}, & t \in L_{k}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, N
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $H_{0}(t)$ is the boundary value of a function which decreases at infinity and is holomorphic in $\mathcal{S}_{-}$, and will be determined later. In [1], the function $H_{0}(t)$ was arbitrarily assumed identically equal to zero.

In formula (6) as well as below, due to the periodicity condition, we assume $b_{0}=b_{N}$, $b_{N+1}=b_{1}$ and we perform in (2) the change of variable $\xi=z e^{-i \tau}$. Making use of (5) and (6), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{L} \frac{H_{0}(t)}{t-\xi} d t+\frac{e^{-2 i \tau}}{2 \pi i} \int_{L} \frac{H_{0}(t)}{t-\xi} d \bar{t}-\frac{e^{-2 i \tau}}{2 \pi i} \int_{L} \frac{\bar{i} H_{0}(t)}{(t-\xi)^{2}} d t=  \tag{7}\\
& \quad \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{b_{k}-b_{k+1}}{z-z_{k}} e^{-i \tau}-e^{-2 i \tau} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \beta_{k}\left[\frac{\bar{z}_{k}}{\left(\xi-z_{k}\right)^{2}}+\frac{r^{2}}{\left(\xi-z_{k}\right)^{3}}\right] \\
& \beta_{k}=b_{k} e^{i \tau}-b_{k+1} l^{-i \tau}
\end{align*}
$$

The integrals which contain $q(t)$ are computed with the aid of residues. With the aim of forming an equation of type (3) relative to $H(t)-e^{-i \tau} H_{0}(t)$, we first compute

$$
d_{h}=i \int_{L_{k}}\{H(t) d \bar{t}-\overline{H(t)} d i\}=b_{h+1}-b_{k}
$$

Noting that the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{k}=i \int_{L_{k}}\{H(t) d \bar{t}-\overline{H(t)} d i\}=b_{k+1}-b_{k} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
p(\xi)=\int_{L} \frac{H(t)}{t-\xi} d t
$$

is identically equal to zero in $S_{+}$, we add the expression $p(\xi)+\xi \overline{p^{\prime}(\xi)}$ to the lefthand side of (7). Making $\xi$ tend to $t_{0}$ and making use of the formula (8) and the relation $\left(t-z_{k}\right)\left(\bar{t}-\overline{z_{k}}\right)=r^{2}$, we arrive at the equation

$$
\begin{gathered}
H\left(t_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{L} H\left(t_{0}\right) d \ln \frac{t-t_{0}}{\bar{t}-\bar{t}_{0}}-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\bar{L}} \frac{t(t)}{H\left(\frac{t_{0}}{\bar{t}-\bar{t}_{0}}\right.}+ \\
\sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{\bar{d}_{k}}{\bar{t}_{0}-\bar{z}_{k}}=-\sum_{k=1}^{N} e^{i \tau} \beta_{k}\left[\frac{z_{k}}{\left(\bar{t}_{0}-\bar{z}_{k i}\right)^{2}}+\frac{r^{2}}{\left(\bar{t}_{0}-\bar{z}_{k}\right)^{3}}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

whose solution is

$$
H(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
0, & t \in L_{3}  \tag{9}\\
d_{k}\left[\frac{1}{t-z_{k}}-\frac{t}{\left(\bar{t}-\bar{z}_{k}\right)^{2}}\right]-e^{i \tau \beta_{k}} \frac{t}{\left(\bar{t}-\bar{z}_{k}\right)^{2}}, & t \in L_{k}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since $H(t)$ is the boundary value of a function which is holomorphic in $S_{-}$, we must have $b_{k+1}=b_{k}$. Reverting to $H_{0}(t)=H(t) e^{2 \tau}$, we obtain finally

$$
\omega\left(t e^{i \tau}\right)=e^{i \tau} \omega(t)+\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
0, & t \in L_{0}  \tag{10}\\
e^{2 i \tau} \beta\left[\frac{1}{t-z_{k}}-\frac{t}{\left(\bar{t}-\bar{z}_{k}\right)^{2}}\right], & t \in L_{k}
\end{array}\right.
$$

here the subscript of $\beta_{i i}$ is omitted since all $b_{k}$ are equal.
In order to solve (3) by the method of least squares, we choose a fundamental system of functions in $W_{2}{ }^{\mathbf{8}}(L)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{t}{c_{1}}\right)^{m}, \quad\left(\frac{\bar{t}}{c_{1}}\right)^{m+1}, \quad c_{1}<R  \tag{11}\\
& \left(\frac{c_{2}}{t-z_{k}}\right)^{m}, \quad\left(\frac{c_{2}}{\left(\bar{t}-\bar{z}_{k}\right)}\right)^{m+1} c_{2}>r, \quad m=0,1,2 \ldots
\end{align*}
$$

which is the direct union of the systems fundamental on $L_{p}, p=0,1, \ldots N$.
We form linear combinations of the elements of this system, satisfying the relation (10). These are, as one can easily see, combinations of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{p=0}^{n}\left\{f_{p}^{\circ}\left(\frac{t}{c_{1}}\right)^{p N+1}+g_{p}^{\circ} \circ\left(\frac{t}{c_{1}}\right)^{p N+N-1}\right\} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $L_{0}$, and
on $L_{k}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{p=1}^{n}\left\{\frac{c_{2}{ }^{p} f_{p}{ }^{1} e^{i(p+1) k \tau}}{\left(t-z_{k}\right)^{p}}+\frac{c_{2}{ }^{p} g_{p}{ }^{1} e^{i(1-p) k \tau}}{\left(\bar{t}-\bar{z}_{k}\right)^{p}}\right\}- \\
& \quad 8 i \pi \operatorname{Re}\left(g_{1}{ }^{1}\right) \sin \tau\left[\frac{c_{2} e^{3 i \tau}\left(1-e^{2 i k \tau}\right)}{\left(t-z_{k}\right)\left(1-e^{2 i \tau}\right)}-\right. \\
& \\
& \left.\frac{c_{2}{ }^{2}\left(1-e^{i k \tau}\right) z_{k}}{\left(\bar{t}-\bar{z}_{k}\right)^{2}\left(1-e^{-i \tau}\right)}-\frac{c_{2}{ }^{3} e^{-i \tau}\left(1-e^{-2 i k \tau}\right) r^{2}}{\left(\bar{t}-\bar{z}_{k}\right)^{3}\left(1-e^{-2 i \tau}\right)}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

We take the closure of this linear set on the norm of $W_{2}{ }^{3}$ and we denote the obtained space by $W_{2}{ }^{3}(L, \tau)$. Let us prove that the desired function $\omega(t)$ belongs to this space. Since $\omega(t)$ belongs to $W_{2}{ }^{3}(L)$, it follows that for sufficiently large $n$ we have the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\omega(t)-v(t)\|_{W_{2} s}<\varepsilon, \quad v=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} y_{i} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y_{i}$ are the elements of the fundamental system (11), $\alpha_{i}$ are constants, and $\varepsilon$ is an arbitrary positive number. Performing in (13) a change of variable in the integral defining the norm $\xi=t e^{-i \tau}$ and using (10), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|v\left(t e^{i \tau}\right)-e^{i \tau} v(t)+\mu(t)\right\|_{W_{2}{ }^{3}} \leqslant 2 \varepsilon(1+\gamma) \\
& \gamma=\sum_{k=1}^{N}\left\|\frac{1}{t-z_{k}}-\frac{t}{\left(\bar{t}-\bar{z}_{k}\right)^{2}}\right\|_{W_{2^{3}}}, \quad t \in L_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

The function $\mu(t)$ can be found for $v(t)$ from the right-hand side of (10). From here one can obtain the estimate $\| \omega(t)-v_{1}(t)_{\| W,} \leqslant \varepsilon F$, where $v_{1}$, belonging to $V_{2}{ }^{3}(L, \tau)$ is constructed in terms of $v$ in the obvious manner and $F$ is uniformly bounded.

We adduce the expressions for $A y_{i}$ computed with the aid of residues and the subsequent limiting process $z \rightarrow t$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A\left(t_{1}\right)^{m}=t^{m}+m t t^{m-1}-m R^{2} \bar{t}^{m-2}, \quad m \geqslant 2 \\
& A\left(t_{1}\right)^{m}=2 t^{m}, \quad t_{1} \in L_{0}, \quad m=0,1 \\
& A\left(\bar{t}_{1}\right)^{m}=\bar{t}^{m}, \quad m \geqslant 1, \quad t_{1} \in L_{0} \\
& A\left(\frac{1}{t_{2}-z_{k}}\right)^{m}=\frac{1}{\left(t-z_{k}\right)^{m}}-\frac{m t}{\left(\bar{t}-\bar{z}_{k}\right)^{m+1}}+ \\
& \quad \frac{m}{\left(\bar{t}-\bar{z}_{k}\right)^{m+1}}\left(z_{k}+\frac{r^{2}}{\bar{t}-\bar{z}_{k}}\right), \quad m \geqslant 1 \\
& A\left(\frac{1}{\bar{t}_{2}-\bar{z}_{k}}\right)^{m}=\frac{1}{\left(\bar{t}-\bar{z}_{k}\right)^{m}}, \quad m \geqslant 2, \quad t_{2} \in L_{k} \\
& A\left(\frac{1}{\bar{t}_{2}-\bar{z}_{k}}\right)=-4 \pi\left(\frac{1}{t-z_{k}}+\frac{1}{\bar{t}-\bar{z}_{k}}-\frac{t}{\left(\bar{t}-\bar{z}_{k}\right)^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A$ is the operator defined by the left-hand side of Sherman's equation. We have assumed, for simplicity, that $c_{1}=c_{2}=1$. To the expression for $A\left\lfloor 1 /\left(t_{2}-z_{i h}\right)^{m}\right\rfloor$ the following term must be added:

$$
2 i m(-1)^{m+1} m \sin (k(m+1) \tau) I^{-m-1}\left(\frac{1}{t}-\frac{1}{\bar{t}}+\frac{t}{\bar{t}^{2}}\right)
$$

which in Eq. (3) corresponds to the term of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{b_{0}}{t}+\frac{\bar{b}_{i}}{\bar{t}}\left(1-\frac{t}{\bar{t}^{2}}\right) \\
& b_{0}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\mathcal{L}}\left\{\frac{\omega(t)}{t^{2}} d t+\frac{\overline{\omega(t)}}{\bar{t}^{2}} d t\right\}, \quad H=\left|z_{k}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

which is identically equal to zero.
The formulas for the coefficients $a_{l k}=\left(A y_{k}, A y_{l}\right)_{W_{23}}$ are constructed with the aid of the residues. We do not give them here because of their cumbersome nature.

For the numerical realization of the method, a program was set up and computations were carried out on the electronic computer ICL-1905E. The stresses $\sigma_{\theta} / P$ at the points of $L$ and the radial displacements $u / u_{0}$ at the points of $L_{0}$ ( $P$ is the intensity of the load and $u_{0}$ is the displacement in a compact disk of the same radius) are shown in Fig. 1 for the case of holes which are close to each other, and in Fig. 2 for the case of holes


Fig. 1


Fig. 2
which are close to the boundary of the disk. The relative dimensions of the disks were $r / R=0.23, H / R=0.4$ and $r / R=0.3, H / R=0.6$, respectively. The order of the normal system was chosen to be 22 , the duration of the computations was about 30 minutes. The closeness of the obtained solution to the exact one was estimated from the relative error in the realization of the boundary conditions, being $4 \%$ in the first case and $2.5 \%$ in the second case. For $N=6$, for the same disposition of the holes and the same accuracy, the duration of the computation increased to 42 minutes.
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Problems of the axisymmetric deformation of elastic thin-walled shells of revolution, taking into account the finiteness of the displacements, have been examined sufficiently completely up to now for spherical type shells. Thus, numerical methods have been developed in [1-4] and solutions have been obtained for domes of diverse geometry under various external effects. It is shown below in the example of a long cylindrical shell that equilibrium modes of the rubber type of a flexible rod appear for shells of revolution whose Gaussian curvature is almost zero, under definite effects.

Let a cylindrical shell of thickness $h$ and radius $K$ (Fig. 1) be compressed uniformly by longitudinal stress resultants $N$ and heated to the temperature $t(x)=1 / 2 T \operatorname{sign}(x)$,

